

Student Name: Student #: Date of Oral Exam:
(dd/mm/yyyy)

Master's PhD

Program Name:

Thesis Title:

Exam Chair: In Person

Exam Committee Members in Attendance:

Questions Submitted

Research Supervisor	<input type="checkbox"/> In Person	<input type="checkbox"/> Remotely	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
Co-Research Supervisor (if applicable)	<input type="checkbox"/> In Person	<input type="checkbox"/> Remotely	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
Committee Member	<input type="checkbox"/> In Person	<input type="checkbox"/> Remotely	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
Committee Member	<input type="checkbox"/> In Person	<input type="checkbox"/> Remotely	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
External Examiner	<input type="checkbox"/> In Person	<input type="checkbox"/> Remotely	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
University Examiner (if applicable)	<input type="checkbox"/> In Person	<input type="checkbox"/> Remotely	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
Non-voting Advisor (if applicable)	<input type="checkbox"/> In Person	<input type="checkbox"/> Remotely	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No

Presentation Phase

The presentation was an open closed event.

If closed, please provide the reasons for a closed defence:

Please comment on the quality of the student's presentation and their ability to answer questions posed by the examining committee:

Question Phase

Was the audience permitted to stay for the question phase? Yes No

Was the audience permitted to participate in the question phase? Yes No

Deliberation Phase

When the question phase is over, the student is asked to leave the room and members of the examining committee determine the outcome of the oral examination. All decisions of the examining committee are made by majority vote. The chair of the examining committee is a nonvoting member, unless the chair's vote is needed to break a tie. A thesis is ultimately graded as a Pass or a Fail. There are four possibilities for revision available as part of the process leading to a final grade of Pass or Fail.

This Thesis is:	<input type="checkbox"/> Acceptable without Required Revisions	The presentation/questions phase is:	<input type="checkbox"/> Acceptable
	<input type="checkbox"/> Acceptable with Minor Revisions		<input type="checkbox"/> Not Acceptable
	<input type="checkbox"/> Major Revisions Required		
	<input type="checkbox"/> Not Acceptable		

Student Name:

Student #:

Date of Oral Exam:

(dd/mm/yyyy)

Recommendation to the Dean of Graduate and Postdoctoral Studies

Decisions for Revisions are made based on the written thesis and the student's answers to questions in the oral defense:
What arrangements are being made for any revisions should they be required?

Re-examination required. If the committee has decided that a re-examination will be required what are the steps that will be required in preparation for this re-examination?

Outline any differences of opinion within the committee if they have affected the outcome.
Describe any special difficulties or concerns

Approval:

Name of Chair of Examining Committee

Signature

Date (dd/mmm/yyyy)

Please submit this report to the School of Graduate and Postdoctoral Studies. Scan and/or email to gradthesis@ontariotechu.ca