

ACADEMIC COUNCIL REPORT

SESSION:		ACTION REQUESTED:	
Public Non-Public		Decision Discussion/Direction Information	
TO:	Academic Council		
DATE:	May 10, 2018		
FROM:	Cheryl Foy, University Secretary and	General Counsel	
SUBJECT:	Policy Framework Amendments – Red	quest for Recommendation	n

ACADEMIC COUNCIL MANDATE:

- Under the UOIT Act, section 9 (1), the Board has the power: "to establish academic, research, service and institutional policies and plans and to control the manner in which they are implemented". The UOIT Policy Framework is a key institutional policy that delegates the Board's power, establishing categories of policy instruments with distinct approval pathways.
- Under the UOIT Act, section 10 (5) the board has a "Duty to consult" with Academic Council before making a decision regarding the establishment of institutional policies.
- We submit this report and draft amendments to request your consideration of the draft amendments and the following motion:
- That Academic Council hereby recommends the proposed amendments to the UOIT Policy Framework and associated procedures, as presented, for approval by the Board of Governors.

BACKGROUND/CONTEXT & RATIONALE:

- The UOIT Policy Framework was approved by the Board November 28, 2014. Under the Framework, all policy instruments must be reviewed at least every three years. A review of the Framework has been completed, resulting in the attached proposed amendments.
- The UOIT Policy Framework establishes five categories of Policy Instruments as follows:
 - Board: Policy Instruments that relate to the governance and administration of the Board of Governors.
 - Legal, Compliance and Governance: Policy Instruments that relate to: broader institutional planning and governance issues, management of institutional risk,

- accountability and legislative requirements, and academic governance matters outside those authorities explicitly delegated by the Board to Academic Council.
- Administrative: Policy Instruments that relate to the ongoing management and operations of the University and that have application across more than one organizational unit.
- Academic: Policy Instruments that relate to academic governance and administration within the delegated authority to Academic Council from the Board.
- Local: Policy Instruments that relate to the ongoing management, work, and operation of the single organizational unit for which they were developed. Local policy instruments may be Academic or Administrative in nature.
- The approach of the UOIT Policy Framework is to ensure that governing bodies are engaged in the most effective manner by establishing differing approval authorities for each category. These approval paths have been updated.

RESOURCES REQUIRED:

N/A

IMPLICATIONS:

N/A

ALIGNMENT WITH MISSION, VISION, VALUES & STRATEGIC PLAN:

N/A

ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:

N/A

CONSULTATION:

• Through Policy Advisory Committee (PAC) and University Administrative Council, the USGC has solicited comments from policy leads or policy owners who have engaged in policy projects under the Policy Framework. Additionally, we have developed an online questionnaire targeted at Policy Framework users to collect comments. We have conducted a special meeting with Academic Council to obtain their views on the existing Policy Framework. To address comments from Academic Council, we engaged outside counsel to provide a perspective on the duty to consult and ensure that the Policy Framework is consistent with the UOIT Act. Those comments have informed the proposed amendments to the Policy Framework and Procedures.

List of Consultation Dates:

- Policy Advisory Committee (December 13, March 2, March 22, April 19)
- Academic Council (January 16, February 13, April 17)
- Online Consultation (community comments) (January 30 February 28)
- University Administrative Council (February 13, April 10)
- Senior Leadership Team (February 26 and April 9)
- Governance, Nominations and Human Resources Committee (February 7, April 19)
- Board of Governors (March 7, May 9)

Comments Received and Response:

 GNHR requested a document including category definitions and a list of policy instruments approved under the Policy Framework in each of the categories. Response: We have prepared a document, distributed with this report.

- Many in the university community are not aware of the process involved in developing and consulting on policies at UOIT. Response: We will develop a training presentation to deliver to those involved in policy projects and other interested parties.
- There is a desire to clarify and simplify the policy development, consultation and approval process. A key concern is that the process from policy idea to approval can be long. We wish to explore ways to clarify the process, making it easier to navigate without losing sight of the importance of consultation in the policy development process. Response: Under the Policy Framework, the Policy Owner assigns a Policy Lead to conduct the consultation process, draft policies, and integrate or respond to stakeholder comments. Many factors can delay policy development, including limited resourcing to do policy work within the Policy Owner's unit and within the Secretariat. Given the limited resources, the Secretariat's focus is on education and facilitation. To that end, we will continue to develop tools and training related to stakeholder consultation and support Policy Leads in developing consultation schedules. We plan to make Policy Advisory Committee (PAC) agendas available to faculty and staff for increased transparency. In our proposed amendments, we set out mandatory consultation steps meant to ensure that consultation remains a priority.
- Strengthen the role of PAC to provide its comments more weight, and to include a role in
 the approval of administrative procedures. Ensure PAC reviews all Administrative and
 Legal, Compliance and Governance (LCG) policies and procedures. Response:
 Proposed amendments to the Policy Framework and Procedures have included PAC as
 a mandatory step for all Administrative and LCG policy instruments. PAC's assessment
 will be reported during deliberation and approval. We are also recommending increasing
 faculty numbers on PAC from one to two.
- The current implementation process for policies does not always include follow-up to ensure their successful implementation and adoption. Response: There is no audit function for policy compliance at this time as the focus continues to be on implementation and clean up. The Policy Framework places the responsibility for an implementation plan on the Policy Owner, but also requires the Policy Owner to evaluate the implementation and report on the implementation to the Approval Authority within one year of implementation. This requirement must be emphasized in our training and communications around the Policy Framework.
- There has been discussion of the categorization and approval authority for the Administrative and LCG categories. Administrative policies do not come to the Board for approval. The intent is to ensure that the Board is focused on high-level strategic policies, and not overwhelmed by operational policies. The Board is kept informed through an annual report on policies. Response: We are looking at how we can bring more clarity to the categorization of policies and also increase accountability to the Board. We have developed a delegation of authority chart as an appendix to the Policy Framework, as well as a Local Policy Approval Form to delegate authority within an organizational unit to approve Local policy instruments.
- There has been a suggestion to increase the opportunities for faculty members to contribute to policy development. Response: We agree that faculty members have a valuable perspective in the development of policies. We propose to increase the Teaching Staff membership of PAC to two. Additionally, the amendments to the Policy Framework provide for a mandatory open comment period on new or revised policies and procedures. These measures provide an additional opportunity for faculty members to consider and comment on policies in development.
- There has been discussion about approval authority and deliberation for Academic policy instruments. It has been suggested that any delegation of approval authority for Academic policy instruments should be done as part of a broader governance review and the development of committee terms of reference in the context of By-law No. 2 implementation. **Response:** We support re-examining Academic approval authorities at a

- later date as part of By-law implementation. Any changes to committee terms of reference that may arise from the implementation of the new By-law will require amendments to the Policy Framework. The proposed amendments to the Policy Framework and Procedures make Academic Council the approval authority for all Academic Policy Instruments. Academic Council committees are the deliberative bodies.
- There has been discussion about the duty to consult Academic Council and ensuring that the Policy Framework is consistent with the UOIT Act. Response: Under the UOIT Act. section 10 (5) the Board has a "Duty to consult" with Academic Council before making a decision regarding the establishment of academic, research, service and institutional policies. The definition of Legal, Compliance and Governance (LCG) is intended to capture those policy instruments related to university governance and institutional planning, regulatory compliance and institutional risk. In the past, LCG policies have been brought to Academic Council as part of their role in the governance of the university and broader institutional planning. Some Administrative policies, which are operational in nature, have been brought on a case-by-case basis. After receiving comments from Academic Council and consulting outside counsel, we confirm that the intention is to consult Academic Council on both LCG and Administrative policy and procedure. Our proposed amendments to the Policy Framework and Procedures add clear mandatory consultation steps to give members of Academic Council an opportunity to review and provide comments on LCG and Administrative policies and procedures. Consultation at a scheduled meeting is required for LCG, and written consultation for Administrative.

COMPLIANCE WITH POLICY/LEGISLATION:

• The UOIT Policy Framework delegates the Board's power "to establish academic, research, service and institutional policies and plans and to control the manner in which they are implemented". The Board has a duty to consult Academic Council under the UOIT Act and By-law No. 2 before establishing such policies. We have taken steps to ensure that the Framework engages the governance bodies effectively, while ensuring that our approach is consistent with the requirements of the legislation.

NEXT STEPS:

 Return to GNHR for deliberation and Board for approval of the draft amendments (May 31/June 27)

MOTION FOR CONSIDERATION:

 That Academic Council hereby recommends the proposed amendments to the UOIT Policy Framework and associated procedures, as presented, for approval by the Board of Governors.

SUPPORTING REFERENCE MATERIALS:

- UOIT Policy Framework
- Procedures for the Development, Approval and Review of Policy Instruments
- Appendices (Appendix A Guide to Approval Path and Mandatory Consultation Steps; Appendix B – Delegation of Authority Chart; Appendix C – Policy Advisory Committee Terms of Reference; Appendix D – Policy Instrument Templates; Appendix E – Local Policy Approval Form



Classification	LCG 1100
Category	Legal, Compliance and
	Governance
Approval Authority	Board of Governors
Policy Owner	<u>University Secretary</u>
Approval Date	DRAFT FOR
	RECOMMENDATION
Review Date	November 2017
Supersedes	N/A

Formatted: Different first page header

UOIT POLICY FRAMEWORK

PURPOSE

1. This framework is intended to provide for effective and consistent practice in the development and administration of University policy instruments.

DEFINITIONS

2. For the purposes of this policy the following definitions apply:

"Approval Authority" means a body or position that has authority to approve, amend, review or revoke a Policy Instrument.

"Deliberative Body" means a University body or committee responsible for discussion and consideration that provides recommendations for Policy Instruments prior to submission for approval.

"Directive" means a set of mandatory instructions that specify actions to be taken to support the implementation of and compliance with a policy or procedure.

"Guideline" means a set of optional directions that provide guidance, advice or explanation to support the implementation of a policy or procedure.

"Policy" means a statement of principle intended to govern the operation of the University and which aligns with the legislative, regulatory and organizational requirements of the University.

"Policy Advisory Committee (PAC)" means an advisory committee and deliberative body, established to conduct Policy Assessments and deliberate on Policy Instruments as set out in Appendix A. means a sub-committee of the Provost's Advisory Committee on Integrated Planning (PACIP) that serves as the Deliberative Body for all Administrative Policy Instruments.

"Policy Assessment" means a review of a draft Policy Instrument as described in the PAC Terms of Reference (Appendix C).

"Policy Instrument" means the different tools and documents that are utilized used to provide direction in the governance and administration of the University. Policy instruments may have application within a single organizational unit (Local) or across more than one organizational unit (University-wide).

"Policy Library" means the official central repository for the coordination and communication of University—wide Policy Instruments.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

"Policy Lead" means the individual(s) responsible for drafting, reviewing, or amending a Policy Instrument.

"Policy Owner" means the position responsible for overseeing the implementation, administration and interpretation of a Policy Instrument.

"Procedure" means a process, information or step-by-step instructions to implement a policy.

"University Administrative Council (UAC)" means a body chaired by the Provost and made up of the Senior Leadership Team (not including the President) and the Senior Academic Team.

"Senior Leadership Team (SLT)" means the President and other members of senior management selected to be on SLT by the President.

SCOPE AND AUTHORITY

- **3.** This policy applies to all University Policy Instruments.
- The University Secretary is delegated overall responsibility for the administration of the UOIT Policy Framework.
- 5. The UOIT Policy Library is the official <u>central</u> repository for all University-<u>wide</u> Policy <u>Instrumentsies and Procedures</u> and is overseen and maintained by the University Secretary.

POLICY

The University is committed to developing and maintaining Policy Instruments that contribute to the achievement of its goals and priorities and that provide transparency, clarity and consistency in decision making related to the University's academic, administrative, legal, compliance and governance requirements.

6. Policy Instruments

- **6.1.** There are four main types of Policy Instruments:
 - Policies
 - Procedures
 - Directives
 - Guidelines

7. Categories

- **7.1.** There are five categories of Policy Instruments:
 - Board Policy Instruments that relate to the governance and administration of the Board of Governors.
 - Legal, Compliance and Governance Policy Instruments that relate to: broader institutional planning and governance issues, management of institutional risk, accountability and legislative requirements, and academic governance matters outside those authorities explicitly delegated by the Board to Academic Council.
 - Administrative Policy Instruments that relate to the ongoing management and operations of the University and that have application across more than one organizational unit.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

- Academic Policy Instruments that relate to academic governance and administration within the delegated authority to Academic Council from the Board.
- Local Policy Instruments that relate to the ongoing management, work, and
 operation of the single organizational unit for which they were developed. Local
 policy instruments may be Academic or Administrative in nature.

8. Application

- **8.1.** There are two levels of application of Policy Instruments:
 - University-wide Policy Instruments that have application across more than one
 organizational unit.
 - Local Policy Instruments that have application to only the organizational unit for which they were developed.

9. Hierarchy

- 9.1. All Policy Instruments will be subordinate to and interpreted consistent with the UOIT

 Act and By-laws.
- 9.2. Policy Instruments at the University will follow a hierarchy. The hierarchy of Policy Instruments -is detailed in Appendix A to this Policy as follows:

a) Policies

b) Procedures

9.1.c) Guidelines / Directives

- <u>9.2.9.3.</u> Where two Policy Instruments in the hierarchy conflict, the Policy Instrument higher in the hierarchy takes precedence.
 - a) Local Policy Instruments may not contradict University-wide Policy Instruments. University-wide Policy Instruments take precedence where there is a conflict between a University-wide and Local Policy Instrument.
 - b) Where there is a conflict between a Policy Instrument and an existing collective agreement between the University and one of its bargaining units, the collective agreement will prevail.

10. Development, Approval and Review

- **10.1.** Policy Instruments will be developed, amended, approved and reviewed in accordance with the Procedures for the Development, Approval and Review of Policy Instruments.
- 10.2. Policy Instruments will be formatted and presented in a unified and consistent manner.
- 10.3. University-wide Policy Instruments will be subject to a Policy Assessment as set out in Appendix A before submitting for deliberation or approval.

10.2.

11. Approval and Administration

11.1. All Policy Instruments will have a designated Approval Authority. Approval Authorities are detailed set out in Appendix B-A to this Policy.

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Indent: Left: 1"

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold

DRAFT FOR RECOMMENDATION - MAY 2, 2018

- **11.2.** Appendices to Policy Instruments form part of the document and are subject to the same approval, amendment, and review processes.
- 11.3. The Approval Authority for a Policy that is not clearly within a single policy category will be determined collaboratively between the President and the Chair of the Board of Governors, upon the advice of in consultation with the University Secretary as needed.
- 11.4. Policy Instruments will be submitted to a designated Deliberative Body prior to submission to the Approval Authority. Some categories of Policy Instruments may have more than one Deliberative Body in order to ensure the desired level of consultation and review prior to approval.
- 11.5. All Policy Instruments will have a designated Policy Owner responsible for the administration of the instrument.
- 11.6. Each organizational unit will maintain a Local Approval Authority Form (Procedures
 Appendix E) that sets out the designated approval and deliberation path for each type of
 Local Policy Instrument. This Form will be approved in accordance with the Procedures
 for the Development, Review and Approval of Policy Instruments, by:
 - a) The Vice-President responsible for the unit for Local Administrative Policies; or
 - b) Academic Council, or delegate, for Local Academic Policy Instruments.

11.5.11.7. Each Local Approval Authority will report annually to the Dean or Vice-President responsible for their unit on Local Policy Instruments approved and reviewed during the year.

12. Consultation

- **12.1.** Consultation throughout the policy development and review cycle is crucial to the effective administration of Policy Instruments and to improve respect for and compliance with the instruments. Consultation on Policy Instruments will:
 - Consider relevant stakeholders;
 - Provide a comprehensive mechanism to gather and consider feedback and options;
 - Demonstrate that stakeholders' views are being considered;
- 12.2. The University Secretariat will develop and maintain mechanisms to update the University community regarding Policy Instruments under development or review and provide a means for gathering feedback,

12.2.12.3. Requirements for mandatory consultation are set out in Appendix A.

13. Classification and Publication

- **13.1.** Policy Instruments will be organized and maintained according to a classification scheme that is a reflection of the content and application of the instrument.
- **13.2.** Policies and Procedures-University-wide Policy Instruments will be maintained in an official University Policy Library that is updated on an ongoing basis.

14. Review

14.1. All Policies will undergo a substantive review every three years.

15. Reporting

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Indent: Left: 1"

Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.25", Hanging: 0.3"

Formatted: Font: Bold

15.1. The University Secretary will report annually to the Board of Governors and Academic Council on Policies approved and reviewed during the year.

MONITORING AND REVIEW

16. The Policy Framework will be reviewed every three years. The Policy Advisory Committee is responsible for reviewing and evaluating this Framework and its associated Procedures.

RELEVANT LEGISLATION

17. University of Ontario Institute of Technology Act, 2002, SO 2002, c 8, Sch O

By-Law Number 1 of the University of Ontario Institute of Technology, as amended

By-Law Number 2 of the University of Ontario Institute of Technology (effective September 1, 2018)

RELATED POLICIES, PROCEDURES & DOCUMENTS

18. Procedures for the Development, Approval and Review of Policy Instruments

Policy Instrument Checklist Review and Submission Planning Form

Policy Instrument Drafting Guidelines (To be developed)

Policy Instrument Templates (To be developed)

Policy Development and Review Cycle

Policy Instrument Review and Evaluation Guidelines (To be developed)



Classification	LCG 1100.01
Framework Category	Legal, Compliance and
	Governance
Parent Policy	UOIT Policy Framework
Approval Authority	Board of Governors
Policy Owner	University Secretary
Approval Date	November 28, 2014 DRAFT
	FOR REVIEW
Review Date	November 2017
Supersedes	N/A

PROCEDURES FOR THE DEVELOPMENT, APPROVAL AND REVIEW OF POLICY INSTRUMENTS

PURPOSE

 These procedures are intended to ensure clarity and consistency in the administration of policy instruments across the University.

DEFINITIONS

2. For the purposes of these procedures the following definitions apply:

"Approval Authority" means a body or position that has authority to approve, amend, review and revoke a Policy Instrument.

"Deliberative Body" means a University body or committee responsible for discussion and consideration and that provides recommendations for Policy Instruments prior to submission for approval.

"Minor Editorial Amendment" means an amendment that is essentially editorial in nature or does not change the purpose, scope or substantive content of the Policy Instrument. An editorial amendment may clarify language, correct typos, update contact information or titles to reflect current organizational structure, or modify the formatting of a Policy Instrument.

"Major Amendment" means an amendment that substantially change the purpose, scope or content of the Policy Instrument.

<u>"Policy Advisory Committee (PAC)"</u> means an advisory committee and deliberative body, established to conduct Policy Assessments and deliberate on Policy Instruments as set out in Appendix A of the Policy Framework.

"Policy Assessment" means a review of a draft Policy Instrument as described in the PAC Terms of Reference (Appendix C of the Policy Framework)."

Policy Advisory Committee (PAC)" means a sub-committee of the Provost's Advisory Committee on Integrated Planning (PACIP) that serves as the Deliberative Body for all Administrative Policy Instruments.

"Policy Category" means one of the defining categories of Policy Instruments as set out in the UOIT Policy Framework, as amended.

"Policy Framework" means the UOIT Policy Framework, as amended, which is the enacting Policy for these procedures.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Left

"Policy Instrument" means the different tools and documents that are utilized used to provide direction in the governance and administration of the University. Policy instruments may have application within a single organizational unit (Local) or across more than one organizational unit (University-wide).

"Policy Lead" means the individual(s) responsible for drafting, reviewing, or amending a Policy Instrument and for facilitating consultation throughout the development and approval process.

"Policy Owner" means the position responsible for overseeing the implementation, administration and interpretation of a Policy Instrument.

"Policy Sponsor" means the Vice-President or delegate who oversees the organizational unit proposing a new Policy Instrument.

"Substantive Amendment" means an amendment other than an Editorial Amendment. It changes the purpose, scope or substantive content of the Policy Instrument. An amendment may be minor in scope but still substantive in nature if it affects stakeholder groups (including students), reassigns organizational authority, or changes existing processes or responsibilities.

SCOPE AND AUTHORITY

- These procedures apply to the development, approval and review of all University Policy Instruments.
- The University Secretary is responsible for strategic oversight and overall administration of the UOIT Policy Framework and its associated Procedures and for ensuring the Framework meets University compliance obligations and is aligned with the strategic goals of the University.
- 5. The University Secretary will assign a delegate who is responsible for supporting the administration of the UOIT Policy Framework and its associated Procedures. This position is responsible for:
 - Coordinating the development, approval, amendment, publication and review of policies and procedures Policy Instruments in accordance with the UOIT Policy Framework and these Procedures;
 - Providing advice on amendments to existing Policies and Procedures Policy Instruments to the Policy Owners for incorporation in subsequent updates;
 - Providing advice and guidance to policy developers and other staff across the University on policy Policy Instrument development, consultation, communication, implementation and review processes;
 - Developing resources that support policy Policy Instrument development, evaluation and review;
 - Ensuring that final drafts of new or amended Policies and Procedures Policy Instruments are compliant with the UOIT Policy Framework;
 - Publishing and maintaining approved Policies and Procedures Policy Instruments in the Policy Library;
 - Managing and coordinating a policy Policy Instrument review schedule.

- Preparing an annual report on the development, amendment, revocation and review of University Policy Instruments.
- **6.** The Policy Owner is responsible for overseeing the administration of Policy Instruments under his/her jurisdiction in accordance with the Policy Framework and these Procedures. For any given Policy Instrument, the Policy Owner may assign a Policy Lead to carry out the following responsibilities:
 - Developing, preparing amendments to and consulting on Policy Instruments;
 - Facilitating and evaluating the communication and implementation of Policy Instruments;
 - Monitoring adoption and compliance with Policy Instruments that have been implemented;
 - Conducting a review of existing Policy Instruments according to established procedures.

PROCEDURES

7. Identifying Policy Needs

- **7.1.** A policy need will arise from identifying:
 - Gaps where no Policy Instrument currently exists and where development of an instrument is the appropriate mechanism for addressing the issue;
 - Amendments that are required to existing Policy Instruments for compliance or administrative reasons.
 - Where an existing Policy Instrument should be revoked.
- **7.2.** The development of new Policy Instruments requires the approval of a Policy Sponsor.
- **7.3.** The amendment or proposed revocation of an existing Policy Instrument requires the approval of the Policy Owner.
- **7.4.** The Policy Sponsor or Policy Owner will consult with the University Secretary delegate prior to beginning the development, amendment or revocation of a Policy Instrument.
- **7.5.** The University Secretary delegate will advise on the need for a Policy Instrument, the appropriate type of instrument, as well as provide guidance on the processes under the Policy Framework.
- **7.6.** The Policy Sponsor or Policy Owner will assign an individual who will act as the Policy Lead.

8. Preliminary Stakeholder Consultation

- **8.1.** The Policy Lead will identify key policy stakeholders paying particular attention to faculty, staff and students, and will conduct preliminary consultations with those key policy stakeholders. When applicable, the Policy Lead will give particular consideration to the policies of any and all strategic institutional partners with whom the University shares academic or administrative operations that may overlap or otherwise affect the proposed policy (e.g. Durham College).
- **8.2.** Preliminary consultation should include the gathering of feedback on:
 - · Content of the Policy Instrument;

- Operational practicality;
- Potential impact and resources required for implementation and compliance;
- Planning and requirements for successful communication and implementation.
- 8.3. Information on preliminary consultations will be provided when instruments are submitted for deliberation and approval.

8.3.8.4. The Policy Advisory Committee is available as a resource to Policy Leads in the early stages of a policy project to aid in identifying stakeholders, consider consultation and implementation planning, and provide recommendations on whether a policy need can be achieved by modifying or clarifying existing Policy Instruments.

9. Drafting

- **9.1.** The Policy Lead is responsible for the drafting of all Policy Instruments.
- **9.2.** All Policy Instruments will be accompanied by a completed Policy Instrument Checklist Review and Submission-Planning Form.
- 9.3. Policy Instruments will be prepared using the official University templates (Appendix D).
- **9.4.** Sections of the templates may not be added or removed. Where a section is not required, the wording "This section intentionally left blank" will be used.
- **9.5.** The Policy Lead will ensure Policy Instruments are coherent and are consistent with existing legislation, Policies and Procedure and Policy Instrumentss.
- **9.6.** Where a Policy is being drafted or amended, any Procedures, Directives, Guidelines or other associated documents (e.g. templates, schedules) will also be drafted or amended at the same time in order to ensure consistency and compliance with the Policy.

10. Consultation on Drafts

- **10.1.** The Policy Lead will facilitate broader consultation on draft Policy Instruments with key policy stakeholders.
- **10.2.** Consultation will be done in all cases except where amendments to an existing Policy Instrument are essentially editorial in nature.
- **10.3.** The Policy Lead will incorporate feedback, as appropriate, and advise the policy stakeholders of action taken related to the feedback provided.
- **10.4.** When Policy Instruments are submitted for deliberation and approval they will specify:
 - The key stakeholders who were consulted with;
 - The mechanism used to gather feedback and opinions;
 - A description of how stakeholders' views were incorporated into the draft of the instrument:
 - If consultation was not done an explanation of why it was not necessary.

11. Mandatory Consultation and Assessment

11.1. Before submitting a Policy Instrument for deliberation, mandatory consultation steps and a Policy Assessment must be completed, as set out in Appendix A of the Policy Framework. Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.5", Hanging: 0.5"

Formatted: Font: Bold

Page **4** of **8**

Formatted: Font: Bold

- 11.2. Draft Policy Instruments will be shared with the University community on the University Secretariat's website to solicit feedback.
- 11.3. Policy Instruments submitted to a committee or governing body for mandatory consultation or Policy Assessment will be accompanied by a document satisfying the requirements of section 10.4. Submissions will be made to the University Secretary delegate, who will ensure compliance with the Policy Framework before it is shared.

11.4. The required format of consultation is set out in Appendix A of the Policy Framework.

11.12. Deliberation

- 11.1.12.1. In order to ensure compliance with the Policy Framework, the Policy Lead will submit copies of all draft documents to the University Secretary delegate before submission to any Deliberative Body or Approval Authority.
- Deliberative Body for review prior to being submitted to the Approval Authority.
- 12.3. The Deliberative Body is based on the Policy Category of the Policy Instrument, as set out in Appendix 8-A of the UOIT Policy Framework,
- **12.4.** The Deliberative Body will provide feedback and recommendations on a draft. The Deliberative Body may recommend:
 - a) Approval of the Policy Instrument;
 - b) Approval of the Policy Instrument with recommended changes; or
 - 11.3.c) Further consultation and/or changes, followed by further deliberation.

12.13. Approval

- 12.1.13.1. Once feedback and recommendations on draft Policy Instruments are provided by the Deliberative Body, the instruments will be forwarded to the appropriate Approval Authority along with the recommendations of the Deliberative Body.
- 12.2.13.2. Approval Authorities are set out in Appendix B-A of the UOIT Policy Framework.
- Appendices to Policy Instruments form part of the instrument and are subject to the same approval, amendment, consultation and review processes as the instrument they are a part of.

13.14. Approved Policy Instruments

- When approved, Policy Instruments will receive a final review by the University Secretariat prior to communication and implementation.
- 13.2.14.2. Within two weeks of the approval of a Policy Instrument by the Approval Authority the University Secretary delegate will:
 - Notify the Policy Sponsor and Policy Owner of when the approved Policy Instrument is ready for publication;
 - Provide a final draft of the Policy Instrument to the Policy Sponsor and Policy Owner including classification number, approval date and mandatory review date;
 - Publish copies of the Policy Instrument to the Policy Library.

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Indent: Left: 1", Outline numbered + Level: 3 + Numbering Style: a, b, c, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.5" + Indent at: 0.85"

Formatted: Font: Bold
Formatted: Font: Bold

 Report on approved Policy Instruments to other Deliberative Bodies and Approval Authorities.

14.15. Implementation

- 14.1.15.1. Once notified by the University Secretary delegate that a Policy Instrument is ready for publication, the Policy Owner is responsible for ensuring information is communicated to policy stakeholders according to the communication and implementation plan.
- The Policy Owner will evaluate the implementation of the Policy Instrument and report on the implementation to the Approval Authority within one year of implementation.

15.16. Review

- 15.1.16.1. Policy Instruments will be reviewed at least once every three years. Such reviews will include a review of the Policy Instrument text as well as an assessment of compliance with the practices governed by the text.
- 45.2.16.2. Where a Policy has specific legislative requirements for reporting it will be reviewed against the stated compliance needs.
- <u>15.3.16.3.</u> Where a Policy has Procedures, Guidelines and Directives associated with it, they will be reviewed at the same time as the parent Policy.
- <u>15.4-16.4.</u> The University Secretariat will provide tools and templates to facilitate documentation for Policy Instrument review.
- 15.5.16.5. Amendments to Policy Instruments may be done at any time prior to the stated review date.
 - a) Where a Policy Instrument is amended prior to the stated review date, the review will still take place according to the original stated date.
 - b) Informal reviews of existing Policy Instruments may be done at any time but will not replace a formal scheduled review.
 - c) Where significant concerns related to the content, consistency or compliance with a Policy Instrument exist, a formal review may be undertaken prior to the stated date.
 - d) Where it is determined that a Local Policy Instrument conflicts with a University Policy Instrument, the Local Policy Instrument will be reviewed and amended to align with University Policy.
- **15.6. 16.6.** Reviews -of Policy Instruments will take into consideration:
 - The extent to which Policy objectives are being achieved;
 - An assessment of the adoption and use of the Policy Instrument;
 - Whether the Policy Instrument complies and remains consistent with University strategic goals as well as existing legislation, Policies and Procedures and Policy Instruments;
 - Any deleterious impacts resulting from the Policy <u>Instrument</u>; and

Formatted: Indent: Left: 1", Outline numbered + Level: 3 + Numbering Style: a, b, c, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.5" + Indent at: 0.85"

- Whether updates to format or classification are required.
- **15.7.16.7.** Review of Policy Instruments will result in recommendations for one or more of the following outcomes:
 - No amendment;
 - Minor Editorial amendment;
 - Major Substantive Amendment;
 - Revocation;
 - Development of new or additional supporting Policy Instruments;
 - Additional communication and education of policy stakeholders.
- <u>15.8-16.8.</u> Upon completion of the review the review outcomes will be reported to the designated Approval Authority.
- 16.9. Further development or amendment of Policy Instruments as a result of review outcomes will be done in accordance with the Policy Framework and these Procedures.

17. Amendment

- 17.1. Policy Instruments requiring amendments will be submitted to the University Secretary delegate with a Policy Instrument Review and Planning Form for review and a determination if the amendment is an Editorial Amendment or Substantive Amendment.
- 17.2. Amendments are subject to the deliberation and approval path set out in Appendix A of the Policy Framework.

15.9.

MONITORING AND REVIEW

16-18. These Procedures will be reviewed every three years. The Policy Advisory Committee is responsible for reviewing and evaluating these Procedures.

RELEVANT LEGISLATION

17.19. University of Ontario Institute of Technology Act, 2002, SO 2002, c 8, Sch O

By-Law Number 1 of the University of Ontario Institute of Technology, as amended

By-Law Number 2 of the University of Ontario Institute of Technology (effective September 1, 2018)

RELATED POLICIES, PROCEDURES & DOCUMENTS

18.20. UOIT Policy Framework

Policy Instrument Checklist Review and Submission Planning Form

Policy Instrument Drafting Guidelines (To be developed)

Policy Instrument Templates (To be developed)

Policy Development and Review Cycle

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.25", Hanging: 0.3"

Formatted: Font: Bold

Policy Instrument Review and Evaluation Guidelines (To be developed)

APPENDIX A – GUIDE TO APPROVAL PATH AND MANDATORY CONSULTATION STEPS

Category/Type	Policy Advisory Committee	Vice- President (or Policy Sponsor)	University Administrative Council	University Community	Academic Council Committees	Academic Council	President	Board Committee	Board of Governors
BRD Policy				MC2				D	Α
BRD Procedure				MC2				D/A	
BRD Guideline BRD Directive				MC2				D/A	
LCG Policy	PA	*	MC1	MC2		MC1	MC2	D	Α
LCG Procedure	PA	*	D	MC2		MC1	MC2	Α	
LCG Directive LCG Guideline	PA	*	D	MC2		MC2	Α		
ADM Policy	PA	*	D	MC2		MC2	Α		
ADM Procedure	D	*	Α	MC2		MC2			
ADM Directive ADM Guideline	D	Α		MC2		MC2			
ACD Policy		*	MC2	MC2	D	Α			
ACD Procedure		*	MC2	MC2	D	Α			
ACD Directive ACD Guideline		*	MC2	MC2	D	Α			
Local ADM Approval Authority Form		Α							
Local ACD Approval Authority Form						Α			

Policy Instrument Categories: BRD – Board; LCG – Legal, Compliance and Governance; ADM – Administrative; ACD – Academic

PA – Policy Assessment D – Deliberation A – Approval * – Approval of editorial amendments

MC – Mandatory Consultation: MC1 – Face to Face MC2 – Written¹

¹ Written Consultation means posting a draft policy instrument on USGC website for community comments. Policy Lead may optionally consult face to face.

UOIT POLICY FRAMEWORK APPENDIX B – DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY CHART

	Individuals									strative dies	Academic Council			Board of Governors			
	Policy Office	University Secretary						AVP/Dean	VP	President	PAC	UAC	Faculty Council	AC Committees	Academic Council	Board Committee	Board
		Policy	Project	Initiati	on, Dra	fting ar	nd Cons	ultatio	n								
Policy Sponsor (approve projects to fill university-wide policy gaps)									X	X							
Policy Owner (identify policy gaps, assign Policy Leads)								Х	Х	Χ							
Policy Owner (Local Administrative Policies)						Х	Х	X									
Policy Owner (Local Academic Policy Instruments)								Χ									
Policy Lead (identify stakeholders, determine schedule and																	
method of consultation and develop plan, benchmark, draft			Х														
PI, submit for consultation, incorporate and/or respond to comments			^														
Policy Lead (submit draft to Policy Office for deliberation with a report on consultation to the deliberative body)			X														
Provide general training and advice on framework																	
processes, advise on consultation planning, manage consultation website & schedule	X																
Classify PI, determine if amendments are editorial or																	
substantive, report on PI reviews and approvals to Board and Academic Council. ¹		X															
Determine Policy Owner and Sponsor. Resolve questions																	
about the approval authority for a PI where it is unclear										X ²							
Required consultation for research, service & institutional policies ³															х		

¹ includes reporting on Local Policies submitted by Local Policy Owners

² In collaboration with the Board Chair under the advice of the University Secretary

³ Research-related PI should undergo consultation with Research Board and all applicable research ethics or compliance committees

DRAFT FOR CONSULTATION – APRIL 24, 2018

	Individuals									strative dies	Acade	emic Co	uncil	Board of Governors			
	Policy Office	University Secretary	Policy Lead	Policy Owner	Policy Sponsor	Manager	Director	AVP/Dean	VΡ	President	PAC	UAC	Faculty Council	AC Committees	Academic Council	Board Committee	Board
				Polic	y Asses	sment											
Submit to Policy Office for Policy Assessment			X														
Policy Assessment of Legal, Compliance and Governance and Administrative PI											Х						
Policy Assessment of Academic PI														X^4			
Policy Assessment of Board PI		X															
Amend Policy Advisory Committee terms of reference																	X
				D	eliberat	tion											
Determine if Mandatory Consultation & Policy Assessment are complete. Review formatting of PI. Submit for deliberation	x																
Report on deliberations to approval authority			Χ														
		Аррі	rovals (New or	Substa	ntive A	mendn	nents)									
Submit PI for approval	X																
Training and communications to support implementation of PI. Assess adoption and compliance with new PI and report to the Approval Authority after one year of implementation			х	x	x												
				Appro	vals (E	ditorial											
Approve editorial amendments to all PI Categories (except Local)					X												
Report editorial amendments to Approval Authority			X	X	X												
				Po	licy Lib	rary											
Notify Policy Office of new PI or amendment approvals			X	X													
Maintain official copy of university-wide PI & record of approvals & amendments. Review formatting of PI.	X																

⁴ Recommend that a committee of Academic Council fill this role

											DIVAI	I I OI	CONS	OLIZ	411011	ı — Ar	'NIL 24,
		Individuals Administrative Bodies Academic Council							Board of Governors								
	Policy Office	University Secretary	Policy Lead	Policy Owner	Policy Sponsor	Manager	Director	AVP/Dean	VP	President	PAC	UAC	Faculty Council	AC Committees	Academic Council	Board Committee	Board
Determine related Policies, Procedures and Documents. Post to Policy Library																	
				Po	olicy Re	view											
Determine Policy Review priorities and initiate a Policy Review ⁵																X	
Determine outcome of Policy Review ⁶										Х					Χ		Х
Maintain University-Wide Policy Review Schedule	Х																
			Approva	als (Loc	al Adm	inistrat	ive Poli	cy)									
Approve new Local Administrative Policy or amendment							Х	Х	Х								
Approve Local Administrative Approval authority form									Х								
Submit an annual report on all new Local Administrative																	
Policy and amendments to Vice-President. Maintain the				v													
official copy and a record of approvals & amendments of				X													
Local Admin Policy over time																	
			Appr	ovals (#	Academ	ic Local	Policy)										
Approve new Local Academic PI or substantive amendment													X				
Approve editorial amendments to Local Academic PI and								Х									
report to Faculty Council								^									
Approve Local Academic Approval Authority form															X		
Submit an annual report on all new Local Academic Policy																	
and amendments to Faculty Council. Maintain the official								Х					Х				
copy and a record of approvals & amendments of Local								^					^				
Academic PI over time																	

⁵ Policy Reviews can be initiated by the policy sponsor, owner or approval authority of a Policy ⁶ Review outcome is determined by the approval authority of the Policy under review, with the recommendation of the Policy Sponsor or Owner



Item Classification	5.12LCG 1100 (Appendix C)
Parent Policy	UOIT Policy Framework
Approval Authority	Board of Governors
Policy Owner	University Secretary
Approval Date	November 28, 2014 DRAFT
	FOR REVIEW
Review Date	November 2017
Supersedes	

APPENDIX C – POLICY ADVISORY COMMITTEE

1. Purpose

The Policy Advisory Committee (PAC) is a <u>subcommittee of the Provost's Advisory Committee on Integrated Planning (PACIP)</u>. The PACan advisory committee and <u>Deliberative Body that</u> provides recommendations to <u>both PACIP other Deliberative Bodies</u>, <u>Approval Authorities</u>, Policy Sponsors and Policy Owners who have brought forward <u>Policies for approval Policy Instruments under development</u>.

It is expected that broader consultation on the development and drafting of policiesPolicy Instruments has occurred prior to a pPolicy Instrument reaching the PAC. The purpose of the eCommittee is not to act in place of appropriate consultative processes in the development of pPoliciesy Instruments.

2. Terms of Reference

The Committee shall review all policies under development, amendment or review that are related to the ongoing management and administration of University operation and services, in order to:

a) Administrative Policies

The PAC has an advisory role in the development, amendment and review of Administrative Policy, but not a decision making role. The Committee will conduct an assessment of Policy Instruments and act as a Deliberative Body as set out in Appendix A of the UOIT Policy Framework. When PAC deliberates on a Policy Instrument, the committee should also conduct a Policy Assessment of the instrument, if it has not previously done so.

It is expected that broader consultation on the development and drafting of policies has occurred prior to a policy reaching the PAC. The purpose of the committee is not to act in place of appropriate consultative processes in the development of policies.

a) Optional Early Consultation

The PAC will have Committee can provide a consultation in the following responsibilities relatedearly stages of a policy project to Administrative Policies:

 Review and aid in identifying stakeholders, consider all Administrative Policy submissions prior to submission to PACIP.

Makeconsultation and implementation planning, and provide recommendations on whether a <u>policy</u> need can be achieved by modifying or clarifying existing Policy Instruments.

b) Policy Assessment

When conducting a Policy Assessment, the Committee will:

- ii-i. Assess whether and what type of new policy Policy Instrument is needed or whether the purpose policy need can be achieved by modifying or clarifying existing policies and procedures Policy Instruments.
- Ensure that policies Policy Instruments are aligned as far as possible with operational practicalities and that potential operational gaps are identified.
- Framework and Procedures, as well as other existing policies and procedures Policy Instruments, regulations and collective agreements. —When applicable, the Committee will give particular consideration to the policies of any and all strategic institutional partners with whom the University shares academic or administrative operations that may overlap or otherwise affect the proposed policy Policy Instruments (e.g. Durham College).
- v-iv. Determine coherence and consistency with the established policy-template and format.
- Review the process and extent of consultation and advise the Policy Sponsor or Policy
 Owner on areas where additional consultation may be needed.
- <u>vii.vi.</u> Provide advice and guidance to the Policy Sponsor or Policy Owner on issues related to implementation and communication.
- Provide feedback to PACIP regarding the implications of proposed policies, including impact on students, faculty and staff, as well as potential risks, costs and operational requirements, and make recommendations to PACIP regarding possible areas for consideration or change.

b) Legal, Compliance and Governance Policies

The PAC has a role in the communication of Legal, Compliance and Governance Policies.

The PAC will have the following responsibilities related to Legal, Compliance and Governance Policies:

- i. Receive for information the Policies approved by the Board of Governors.
- ii. Serve in an advisory capacity where requested by the Deliberative Bodies for these Policies.
- iii. Provide feedback to the Deliberative Bodies, as required, regarding the implications of proposed policies, including potential risks, costs and operational requirements and make recommendations regarding possible areas for consideration or change.

c) Deliberation

When acting as a Deliberative Body, the Committee is responsible for discussion and consideration and provides recommendations for Policy Instruments prior to submission for approval. Committee members should consider the elements of a Policy Assessment when deliberating on a Policy Instrument.

d) Policy Priorities and Planning

Formatted: Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: a, b, c, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

The Committee will discuss and consider policy gaps and policy needs at the University to provide recommendations to and advise the University Administrative Council on priorities for policy development and review.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Normal, Indent: Left: 0.25", No bullets or

numbering

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

3. Responsibilities

- a) The <u>PAC-Committee</u> will be responsible to <u>undertakefor the</u> periodic review of the UOIT Policy Framework and its associated Procedures and for making recommendations to improve the effectiveness and implementation of the Framework.
- b) Representatives to the <u>PAC-Committee</u> will be responsible for disseminating information and updates regarding Administrative and Legal, Compliance and Governance Policies to their respective areas.

4. Membership

- University Secretary, or delegate (Chair)
- Policy Analyst and Compliance Officer Advisor (Secretary to the Committee)

One representative from each of the following Administrative areas:

- Provost
- Academic Affairs
- External Relations
- Research and International
- Finance
- Human Resources
- Office of Campus Infrastructure and Sustainability (OCIS)
- Student Life
- Graduate Studies
- Faculty Planning and Budget Officers Group
- Teaching Staff (selected by the Provost after consultation with PACIP)
- Registrar
- IT Services
- Two representatives from the Teaching Staff with direct knowledge and interest in University
 policies and policy development. Teaching Staff Representatives will be selected by the Provost
 after consultation with Academic Council.

<u>Administrative Rrepresentatives</u> are appointed by the Vice-President or delegate for each organizational area. <u>Representatives are Each representative is</u> expected to be a person within each area who has either direct knowledge or responsibility for the administration and application of policy for their respective unit (i.e. a senior administrative staff member).

Formatted: Underline

Formatted: Underline



Classification Number	To be assigned by Policy Office
Parent Policy	
Framework Category	
Approving Authority	
Policy Owner	
Approval Date	
Review Date	
Supersedes	

[GUIDELINES/DIRECTIVES] TITLE

PURPOSE

1. The purpose of these [Guidelines/Directives] is to

DEFINITIONS

- 2. For the purposes of these [Guidelines/Directives] the following definitions apply: definitions should be listed in alphabetical order, and defined terms must be capitalized throughout the document]
 - "A term" means

"B term" means

SCOPE AND AUTHORITY

- **3.** These [Guidelines/Directives] apply to
- 4. The [insert position title], or successor thereof, is responsible for overseeing the implementation, administration and interpretation of these [Guidelines/Directives].

[GUIDELINES/DIRECTIVES]

- 5. Sub-Heading
 - **5.1.** General direction or instruction under the sub-heading.
 - a) Use letters to denote specific steps
- 6. Sub-Heading

6.1.

7. Sub-Heading

7.1.

MONITORING AND REVIEW

8. These [Guidelines/Directives] will be reviewed as necessary and at least every three years (unless another timeframe is required for compliance purposes). The [insert

position/committee], or successor thereof, is responsible to monitor and review these [Guidelines/Directive].

RELEVANT LEGISLATION

9. Legislation 1

Legislation 2

Legislation 3

If no associated legislation use the text "This section intentionally left blank".

RELATED POLICIES, PROCEDURES & DOCUMENTS

10. Associated Document 1

Associated Document 2

Associated Document 3



Classification Number	
Framework Category	
Approving Authority	
Policy Owner	
Approval Date	
Review Date	
Supersedes	

POLICY TITLE

PURPOSE

1. The purpose of this Policy is to

DEFINITIONS

- 2. For the purposes of this Policy the following definitions apply: [definitions listed in alphabetical order, and defined terms are capitalized throughout the document]
 - "A term" means

"B term" means

SCOPE AND AUTHORITY

- **3.** This Policy applies to
- **4.** The [insert position title], or successor thereof, is the Policy Owner and is responsible for overseeing the implementation, administration and interpretation of this Policy.

POLICY

[Insert general Policy Statement]

- 5. Policy Sub-Heading
 - **5.1.** Statement or broad principle under the policy sub-heading.
 - a) Use lower case letters for lists, examples, conditions or exceptions
- 6. Policy Sub-Heading

6.1.

7. Policy Sub-Heading

7.1.

MONITORING AND REVIEW

8. This Policy will be reviewed as necessary and at least every three years (unless another timeframe is required for compliance purposes). The [insert position/committee], or successor thereof, is responsible to monitor and review this Policy.

RELEVANT LEGISLATION

9. Legislation 1

Legislation 2

Legislation 3

If no associated legislation use the text "This section intentionally left blank".

RELATED POLICIES, PROCEDURES & DOCUMENTS

10. Associated Document 1

Associated Document 2

Associated Document 3



Classification Number	
Parent Policy	
Framework Category	
Approving Authority	
Policy Owner	
Approval Date	
Review Date	
Supersedes	

PROCEDURE TITLE

PURPOSE

1. The purpose of these Procedures is to

DEFINITIONS

- **2.** For the purposes of these Procedures the following definitions apply: [definitions listed in alphabetical order, and defined terms are capitalized throughout the document]
 - "A term" means
 - "B term" means

SCOPE AND AUTHORITY

- **3.** These Procedures apply to
- 4. The [insert position title], or successor thereof, is the Policy Owner and is responsible for overseeing the implementation, administration and interpretation of these Procedures.

PROCEDURES

- 5. Procedure Sub-Heading
 - **5.1.** General direction or instruction under the Procedure sub-heading.
 - a) Use letters for procedural steps
- 6. Procedure Sub-Heading
 - 6.1.
- 7. Procedure Sub-Heading
 - 7.1.

MONITORING AND REVIEW

8. These Procedures will be reviewed as necessary and at least every three years (unless another timeframe is required for compliance purposes). The [insert position/committee], or successor thereof, is responsible to monitor and review these Procedures.

RELEVANT LEGISLATION

9. Legislation 1

Legislation 2

Legislation 3

If no associated legislation use the text "This section intentionally left blank".

RELATED POLICIES, PROCEDURES & DOCUMENTS

10. Associated Document 1

Associated Document 2

Associated Document 3

APPENDIX E – LOCAL POLICY APPROVAL AUTHORITY FORMS

Local Academic Approval Authorities

Unit:	
Date:	
Approved by:	
Approval date:	

Category/Type	Deliberative Body	Approval Authority
Local ACD Policy		
Local ACD Procedure		
Local ACD Directive		
Local ACD Guideline		

Local Academic approval authorities are subject to approval by Academic Council. Positions and/or bodies eligible to be assigned as approval authorities are detailed in the Delegation of Authority Chart, Appendix B to the UOIT Policy Framework.

Local Academic Policy (ACD) Approval Authorities

Unit:	
Date:	
Approved by:	
Approval date:	

Organizational Area	Deliberation	Position with Approval Authority

Local Administrative approval authorities are subject to approval by the Vice-President responsible for the organizational area. Positions eligible to be assigned as approval authorities are detailed in the Delegation of Authority Chart, Appendix B to the UOIT Policy Framework.